

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/008,363	11/07/2001	Jamelyn D. Holladay	13020G 4377		
7590 10/14/2005			EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Services			COCKS, JOSIAH C		
Battelle Memor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Pacific Northwe P.O Box 999	est Division	3749			
Richland, WA	99352		DATE MAILED, 10/14/2004	_	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· t					S				
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/008,363		HOLLADAY ET AL	L.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Josiah Cocks		3749					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING D under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period nded period for reply will, by statut than three months after the mailling	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, I will apply and will ex te, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status									
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 25 J	July 2005.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.		is action is non-	final.						
•									
Disposition of Claims									
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,16-23,63</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>81-87,95 and</u> 7)□ Claim(s) is/are	n(s) is/are withdra 3-94,96,97,99 <u>and 100</u> is <u>d 98</u> is/are rejected.	awn from consider allowed.	deration.						
Application Papers									
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	n <u>07 November 2001</u> is/lest that any objection to the heet(s) including the correct	are: a) ☐ acce e drawing(s) be h ction is required i	eld in abeyance. Seefthe drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
2.☐ Certified copies 3.☐ Copies of the capplication from		nts have been ronts have been roority documents	eceived. eceived in Applicati s have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>various</u> .	Prawing Review (PTO-948)	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Receipt of applicant's amendment filed 7/25/2005 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. Review of the drawings filed 11/07/2001 reveals that these drawings include lines numbers that are not uniformly thick and well defined. See 37 CFR 1.84(l). Further, the numbers and reference characters are handwritten and in some cases are not plain and legible. See 37 CFR 1.84(p). Accordingly, corrected drawings are required.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

ŕ

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statements filed 2/11/02, 4/23/2004 and 7/25/2005 are acknowledged and the information contained therein considered. Initialed and signed copies of these information disclosure statements are included with this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 95 and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Both of claims 95 and 98 refer to "the catalyst in the reformation channel," however, both of these claims are dependent upon claim 85, which does not introduce a catalyst in the

reformation channel nor does any claim upon which claim 85 is dependent introduce such a catalyst. It appears applicant intended to make these dependent upon claim 18, which introduces such a catalyst. Examination on the merits has been performed based on this apparent desired dependency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 81-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/00186 ("186 patent") (previously cited) in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,876,162 to McElroy ("McElroy") (previously cited).

Application/Control Number: 10/008,363

Art Unit: 3749

The '186 patent discloses in Figures 1-6 a microcombustor and steam reformer substantially as described in applicant's claim 81-87. In particular, in Figure 2 a first section comprising a combustion fuel channel with inlet (104) and outlet (106), and a second section next to the first section and including a combustion chamber (108) with an inlet in communication with an outlet (112) that is capable of evacuating combustion exhaust products. The combustion fuel channel and the exhaust channel are disposed on a same side with respect to the combustion chamber, so as to form a first heat exchanger, and are in parallel planes (see Fig. 2a, col. 3, lines 18-42, and col. 3, line 62 through col. 4, line 16). The '186 patent also discloses that a catalyst (300) may be included in the combustion chamber (see col. 4, line 63 through col. 5. line 23). The microcombustor is disclosed as being used with a fuel reformer (410) and a fuel cell (402) (see col. 5, lines 24-41) and may include a working fluid/reformer fuel inlet (120) and multiple heat exchange chambers with multiple heat transfer layers (see Figs. 2c and 2d). The '186 patent further shows in Figure 3a a first plate (111) separating the first and second sections and a second plate (unnumbered) located between the combustion chamber (108) and the catalyst (300).

The '186 patent discloses all the limitations of claims 81-87 except for a liquid evacuation system that comprises a wick. In the '186 patent it is understood that water is a product of combustion that forms in an exhaust channel (see at least page 10, lines 3-6) and proposes that water is vaporized (see at least page 12, lines 29-34). This teaching water vaporization is possibly not regarded as a liquid evacuation system as claimed, particularly one comprising a wick.

McElroy teaches a fuel cell in the same field of endeavor as the '186 patent. In McElroy, the fuel cell includes a water removal system that includes the use of a wick structure/capillary tube (26) located in an exhaust channel/manifold (20).

Therefore, in regard to claims 81-87, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the microcombustor of the '186 patent to incorporate the wick of Sanderson as for the desirable purpose of removing excess water from a fuel cell system (see McElroy, col. 2, lines 40-48).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-9, 16-23, 63-80, 88-94, 96, 97, 9, and 100 are allowable.
- 10. Claims 95 and 98 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The prior art does not teach or suggest the microcombustor having the structure recited in applicant's 1 including a space that separates the combustion catalyst and the second plate that is of sufficient size to allow for fluid expansion and flow to the exhaust channel.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 7/25/2005 with respect to claims 81-87 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the wick liquid evacuation system of McElroy is not combinable with the '186 patent because the wicks/conduits in McElroy are intended to allow only the passage of liquid and not gas. Applicant therefore reasons that such an evacuation system would not function in the apparatus of the '186 patent because exhaust gases generated in the combustion chamber would be unable to escape.

In response the examiner notes that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). In this case the examiner considers that the combination of references would suggest to a person of ordinary skill in the art a microcombustor having a wick liquid evacuation system that removes undesirable liquid from the exhaust channel. That the wick itself does not allow for the passage of gas would also be desirable in the microcombustor of the '186 patent as the escape of gas is provided for via the remainder of the exhaust channel. The combination would suggest to a person of ordinary skill in the art a microcombustor having an exhaust channel that allows for the escape of combustion products and for a liquid evacuation system that allow for only evacuation of liquid/water formed in the exhaust channel through the specific wick/conduit structure.

Accordingly, applicant's claims 81-87 are not considered to patentably define over the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,363

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is (571) 272-4874. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg, can be reached at (571) 272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/008,363

Art Unit: 3749

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Any questions on access to the Private PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

jcc

October 6, 2005

JOSIAH COCKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3749

Page 9